arXiv:1611.03513v1 [math.GT] 10 Nov 2016

QUANTITATIVE NULLHOMOTOPY AND RATIONAL HOMOTOPY TYPE

GREGORY R. CHAMBERS, FEDOR MANIN, AND SHMUEL WEINBERGER

Abstract. In [Gro14], Gromov asks the following question: given a nullhomotopic map $f : S^m \to S^n$ of Lipschitz constant L, how does the Lipschitz constant of an optimal nullhomotopy of f depend on L, m, and n? We establish that for fixed m and n, the answer is at worst quadratic in L. More precisely, we construct a nullhomotopy whose *thickness* (Lipschitz constant in the space variable) is $C(m,n)(L+1)$ and whose *width* (Lipschitz constant in the time variable) is $C(m,n)(L+1)^2$.

More generally, we prove a similar result for maps $f : X \to Y$ for any compact Riemannian manifold X and Y a compact simply connected Riemannian manifold in a class which includes complex projective spaces, Grassmannians, and all other simply connected homogeneous spaces. Moreover, for all simply connected Y, asymptotic restrictions on the size of nullhomotopies are determined by rational homotopy type.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Preliminaries 4
3. The case of maps $S^3 \to S^2$ 7
4. Lifting through k-invariants 9
5. Rational invariance 12
6. Proof of the main theorem 13
7. Some lower bounds 15
References 17

1. Introduction

Rational homotopy theory, as introduced by Quillen and Sullivan, is one of the great successes of twentieth-century algebraic topology. It allows one to turn any simply connected space, which may be given as a Postnikov tower or a cell complex, in a rather simple algorithmic way, into one of several, ultimately equivalent, algebraic structures. Moreover, as long as one is willing to ignore torsion, this conversion preserves all homotopic information: it is an equivalence of (rational homotopy) categories.

As in other such cases, we often understand very little about the geometry of the maps that rational homotopy theory tells us must exist. Nevertheless, quite a bit of geometric information may be squeezed out of this algebraic story.

The very first theorem of quantitative algebraic topology is the following, first articulated by Gromov in [Gro78]:

Theorem 1.1. *Let X and Y be compact simply connected Riemannian manifolds. Then*

$$\#\{[f] \in [X : Y] : \operatorname{Lip} f \leq L\} = O(L^\alpha),$$

where α depends only on the rational homotopy type of X and Y.

Naïvely, rational homotopy type should play a role here because torsion homotopy groups can only affect the number of maps by a finite multiplicative constant. But in fact, the proof of this theorem relies heavily on the Sullivan model of rational homotopy theory and its realization via differential forms.

Later on, Gromov [Gro99] conjectured that an analogous result should hold for homotopies between maps. To state the conjecture, we first introduce some terminology. Suppose that X and Y are two metric simplicial complexes, and that $f, g : X \to Y$ are two homotopic maps. We say a homotopy $H : X \times [0,1] \to Y$ from f to g has *thickness* A and *width* B if $d(H(x,t), H(y,t)) \leq Ad(x,y)$ for all x, y, and t and $d(H(x,t), H(x,s)) \leq B|t-s|$ for all x, t, and s.

Gromov's original conjecture concerned only the thickness of homotopies:

Conjecture 1. *Let X and Y be compact Riemannian manifolds (or some other "reasonable" class of compact metric spaces) with Y simply connected. If $f, g : X \to Y$ are homotopic maps with Lipschitz constant $\leq L$, then there is a homotopy between them of thickness $O(L^p)$, for some p depending only on the rational homotopy type of Y. Perhaps p can always be taken to be 1.*

In [FW13], Ferry and Weinberger suggest a related problem: can the Lipschitz constant of a homotopy, seen as a map $X \times [0,1] \to Y$, be bounded linearly in terms of $\operatorname{Lip} f$ and $\operatorname{Lip} g$? As we show in [CDMW16], this is not the case in general. However, one may hope for a polynomial result. In light of the result in this paper, it may be worthwhile to consider thickness and width separately. A compelling if somewhat optimistic conjecture is as follows:

Conjecture 2. *In the setting of Conjecture 1, if $f, g : X \to Y$ are homotopic maps with Lipschitz constant $\leq L$, then there is a homotopy between them of thickness $O(L)$ and width $O(L^p)$, where p depends only on the rational homotopy type of Y.*

In the case of nullhomotopic maps, we make a stronger conjecture which refers explicitly to the rational homotopy type of Y:

Conjecture 3. *If $f : X \to Y$ is nullhomotopic with Lipschitz constant $\leq L$, then it has a nullhomotopy of thickness $O(L)$ and width $O(L^q)$, where q is the minimal depth of a filtration $0 = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_q$ of the indecomposables in dimensions $\leq n$ of the Sullivan minimal model of Y with the property that $dV_i \subseteq \mathbb{Q}\langle V_{i-1}\rangle$.*

The cases $q = 0$ and $q = 1$ of this conjecture are proved in [FW13] and [CDMW16], respectively. Indeed, those results hold for homotopies and not only nullhomotopies. In this paper we prove the case $q = 2$:

Theorem 1.2. *Let X and Y be finite simplicial complexes, with X n-dimensional. If Y is simply connected and the indecomposables in dimensions $\leq n$ of its Sullivan minimal model split as $V_1 \oplus V_2$ with $dV_1 = 0$ and $dV_2 \subset \mathbb{Q}\langle V_1\rangle$, then there is a constant $C(X,Y)$ such that nullhomotopic L-Lipschitz maps from X to Y admit nullhomotopies of thickness $C(L+1)$ and width $C(L+1)^2$.*

The class of spaces covered by this theorem includes, most notably, all simply connected homogeneous spaces, including spheres. As a corollary, when the domain is a suspension, this allows us to find short homotopies, not just nullhomotopies:

Corollary 1.3. *In the setting of Theorem 1.2, if in addition X has the homotopy type of a suspension, there is a constant $C'(X,Y)$ such that any two homotopic L-Lipschitz maps $f, g : X \to Y$ have a homotopy of thickness $C'(L+1)$ and width $C'(L+1)^2$.*

In particular, this gives a result for maps between spheres. In [Gro14, §2], Gromov asks the following related question: given an L-Lipschitz nullhomotopic map $f : S^m \to S^n$, how can the Lipschitz constant of a nullhomotopy be bounded as a function of m, n and L? In this paper we

get a bound of the form $C(m,n)L^2$. Getting an explicit estimate for $C(m,n)$ is a topic for future work.

As further confirmation that rational homotopy type plays a role, we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1.4. *Suppose X, Y, and Z are finite complexes, with X n-dimensional, and suppose that Y and Z are simply connected and rationally homotopy equivalent. Then nullhomotopic maps $X \to Y$ and $X \to Z$ admit nullhomotopies* of the same shapes.

We make this more precise below, but for example, if one has certain bounds on thickness and width for nullhomotopies of maps to Y, then the same asymptotic bounds hold for maps to Z.

1.1. **The role of rational homotopy.** The main geometric tool that we use to construct quantitative nullhomotopies can be seen in a simple example covered by the results in [CDMW16]: maps $S^n \to S^n$. To nullhomotope such a map, it is enough to cancel point preimages with opposite local degree; this is an idea that goes back to Brouwer. Tracing these point preimages through the nullhomotopy gives an embedded 1-manifold in $S^n \times [0,1]$. In order to make the nullhomotopy quantitative, we break up $S^n \times [0,1]$ into a grid and make sure that each cube in the grid doesn't "see" too much of this 1-manifold.

Poincaré duality turns this story about 0- and 1-submanifolds into one about bounded n- and $(n-1)$-dimensional obstruction cochains which generalizes to a result for maps $X \to K(\mathbb{Z}, n)$ for any finite simplicial complex X. In order to generalize this to a larger class of target spaces, one may try to iterate this process over the stages of a Postnikov system, using the obstruction theory for principal fibrations.

As pointed out by Gromov, tracing constants through the way such a lift is built traditionally gives a Lipschitz constant which is a tower of exponentials. Thus to get a reasonable quantitative estimate, we need to once again do the lifting in a local way. Unfortunately, there is no guarantee that the nullhomotopy we came up with in the previous stage is anywhere close to something that lifts. For example, suppose that we are trying to nullhomotope an L-Lipschitz map $f : S^3 \to S^2$ and we have come up with a nullhomotopy $F : S^3 \times [0,1] \to \mathbb{C}\mathbf{P}^2$ which is cellular on a subdivision of a cell structure $S^3 \times [0,1]$ at scale $1/L$. We would like to retract this F to a nullhomotopy $S^3 \times [0,1] \to S^2$. But a priori, the map $F|_{t=1/2} : S^3 \to S^2$ need not be nullhomotopic inside of S^2; by assumption, it is only nullhomotopic as a map to $\mathbb{C}\mathbf{P}^2$. Indeed, unless our construction of F was particularly clever, it may have Hopf invariant on the order of L^4. This means it cannot be made nullhomotopic even after a homotopy in $\mathbb{C}\mathbf{P}^2$ if that homotopy is to be kept uniformly bounded.

Our (partial) solution to this problem is to turn to algebra. Let Y be a compact metric simplicial complex; its algebra of PL forms $A^*(Y)$ has a Sullivan minimal model $\mathcal{M}^*(Y)$ which is realized by a map $R_Y : \mathcal{M}^*(Y) \to A^*(Y)$. In particular, given a nullhomotopic map $f : X \to Y$, the map $f* \circ R_Y : \mathcal{M}^*(Y) \to A^*(X)$ is algebraically nullhomotopic, that is, there is a homomorphism

$$h : \mathcal{M}^*(Y) \to A^*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}\langle t, dt\rangle$$

with $h|_{t=0,dt=0} = f^* \circ R_Y$ and $h_{t=1,dt=0} = 0$. To construct such a homomorphism, one needs to antidifferentiate certain forms. For example, if $X = S^3$ and $Y = S^2$, then $\mathcal{M}^*(Y)$ is given by $\langle x_2, y_3 \mid dy = x^2\rangle$ and R_Y takes x to a volume form ω on S^2 and y to 0. Then given a nullhomotopic map $f : S^3 \to S^2$ which is simplicial on a triangulation of S^3 at scale $1/L$, a nullhomotopy $\tilde{h} : \mathcal{M}^*(S^2) \to A^*(S^3) \otimes \mathbb{Q}\langle t, dt\rangle$ can be given by

$$x \mapsto f^*\omega \otimes (1-t)^2 - \alpha \otimes 2(1-t)dt$$
$$y \mapsto \eta \otimes 4(1-t)^3 dt,$$

where $d\alpha = f^*\omega$ and $d\eta = f^*\omega \wedge \alpha$. Here, $f^*\omega \wedge \alpha$ is an exact form since the Hopf invariant of f is zero, using Hopf's original definition. A filling inequality allows us to choose an α of ∞-norm $O(L)$ and an η of ∞-norm $O(L^2)$.

By "evaluating" t and dt we can turn this nullhomotopy into a map $h : \mathcal{M}^*(S^2) \to A^*(S^3 \times I)$. If the interval is subdivided sufficiently finely, into $O(L^2)$ pieces, then dt is small enough so that the forms $\tilde{h}(x)$ and $\tilde{h}(y)$ are uniformly bounded. Consider now the previously constructed nullhomotopy $F : S^3 \times [0,1] \to \mathbb{C}\mathbf{P}^2$, and let ξ be a differential form representing the fundamental class of $\mathbb{C}\mathbf{P}^2$. If $F^*\xi$ is a bounded distance from $h(x)$, then Hopf invariants on boundaries of 4-cells can be determined by integrating a form a bounded distance from $h(y)$. This allows us to kill these Hopf invariants by modifying the map in a bounded way.

Unfortunately, if we try to continue this process to a third level and beyond, the "errors" are no longer uniformly bounded. This is related to the well-known fact that $(L+1)^2 \neq L^2 + 1$. This is why what seems to be the second step of an induction does not actually generalize to a proof Conjecture 3 for any $q \geq 3$. At this time we have to be content with Theorem 1.2.

Another issue with potentially extending this method is that the property of $\tilde{h}$ that one can cancel out large antiderivatives by making dt small is special: one can only construct such a nullhomotopy when Y *has positive weights*, that is, essentially when $\mathcal{M}^*(Y)$ has lots of automorphisms. This property is discussed in [BMSS98] and examples of spaces which do not have it are given in [MT71] and [Ama14]. For more general spaces, such nullhomotopies may necessarily have large terms which are not multiples of dt. Thus, if one is to find a counterexample to Conjecture 3 in which a nullhomotopy must necessarily have nonlinear thickness, spaces which do not admit positive weights seem to be a natural place to look.

1.2. **Optimality.** One may ask to what extent our results are sharp. We produce two main examples to this effect. First, we give a sequence of examples, also mentioned in [CDMW16], which demonstrate that a linear bound does not always hold, and in some cases the quadratic bound on width is the best we can do. More generally, this family of examples demonstrates that, at least in some cases, the conjectured upper bound of Conjecture 3 is also a lower bound. Secondly, we construct an example which shows that the statement of Theorem 1.2 does not hold if we replace nullhomotopies by homotopies: the exponents in Conjectures 2 and 3 are necessarily different.

Nevertheless, many open questions remain even in the restricted domain of Theorem 1.2. For example, it is not known whether for maps $S^3 \to S^2$ our quadratic bound on widths of nullhomotopies is sharp or whether it is possible to construct nullhomotopies with linear Lipschitz constant, or whether, somewhat implausibly, the true answer is somewhere in between.

1.3. **Outline of the paper.** In section 2, we introduce and summarize some geometric results and terminology. This is followed in the third section by a proof of Theorem 1.2 in the special case of maps $S^3 \to S^2$. Section 4 repeats this for a more general, but still restricted situation. In section 5 we prove that the asymptotic geometry of nullhomotopies is rationally invariant, and section 6 uses this as well as the result of section 4 to prove the main theorem. Finally, in section 7 we discuss lower bounds on the size of homotopies.

1.4. **Acknowledgments.** The authors would like to thank Dominic Dotterrer for many useful conversations and suggestions over the course of the development of this project. The second author would like to thank Alex Nabutovsky for pointing out previous work.

The first author was partially supported by an NSERC postdoctoral fellowship.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we summarize the geometric machinery developed in [CDMW16] as well as introducing some of our own.

2.1. **Simplicial approximation and mosaic maps.** One result we will make heavy use of is a quantitative simplicial approximation theorem, allowing us to approximate any map between simplicial complexes by a simplicial one with a similar Lipschitz constant. First, we need to define the appropriate kind of subdivision.

Definition. Define a *simplicial subdivision scheme* to be a family, for every n and L, of metric simplicial complexes $\Delta^n(L)$ isometric to the standard Δ^n with length 1 edges, such that $\Delta^n(L)$ restricts to $\Delta^{n-1}(L)$ on all faces. A subdivision scheme is *regular* if for each n there is a constant A_n such that $\Delta^n(L)$ has at most A_n isometry classes of simplices and a constant r_n such that all 1-simplices of $\Delta^n(L)$ have length in $[r_n^{-1}L, r_nL]$.

Given a regular subdivision scheme, we can define the *L-regular subdivision* of any metric simplicial complex, where each simplex is replaced by an appropriately scaled copy of $\Delta^n(L)$.

Note that L times barycentric subdivision is *not* regular. Two known examples of regular subdivision schemes are the edgewise subdivision described in [EG00] and the cubical subdivision described in [FW13].

Proposition 2.1 (Quantitative simplicial approximation theorem)**.** *For finite simplicial complexes K and L with piecewise linear metrics, there are constants C and C' such that any k-Lipschitz map $f : K \to L$ has a Ck-Lipschitz simplicial approximation via a $(Ck + C')$-Lipschitz homotopy.*

As in [CDMW16], we will use simplicial approximation mainly as a way of ensuring that our maps have a uniformly finite number of possible restrictions to simplices. The property that we really care about, then, is the following:

Definition. Let $\mathcal{F}_k$ be a finite set of maps $\Delta^k \to Y$, for some space Y. If X is a simplicial complex, a map $f : X \to Y$ is *$\mathcal{F}$-mosaic* if all of its restrictions to k-simplices are in $\mathcal{F}_k$. More generally, we can take X to be any polyhedral complex with a finite collection of cell shapes (e.g. a cubical complex, or a product of simplicial complexes) and $\mathcal{F}_k$ to be a set of maps from each of the various shapes.

We refer to a collection of maps as *uniformly mosaic* if they are all $\mathcal{F}$-mosaic with respect to a fixed unspecified $\mathcal{F}$.

The $\mathcal{F}_k$ in the definition naturally form a Δ-complex $\mathcal{F}$ via restriction maps. Thus we can think of an $\mathcal{F}$-mosaic map f equivalently as one that factors through

$$X \xrightarrow{g} \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{h_{\mathcal{F}}} Y,$$

where $h_{\mathcal{F}}$ is fixed and g is simplicial, or more generally takes cells isomorphically to cells. In particular, the property of a collection of maps being uniformly mosaic is preserved by postcomposition with any map, for example one collapsing certain simplices.

2.2. **Isoperimetry for cochains.** In rational homotopy theory, algebraic nullhomotopies are constructed by antidifferentiating certain exact differential forms. To imitate this construction geometrically, we need to be able to antidifferentiate simplicial cochains in a quantitative way. This is given to us by the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2 (ℓ^∞ coisoperimetry)**.** *Let X be a finite simplicial complex equipped with the standard metric, and let X_L be the cubical or edgewise L-regular subdivision of X, and $k \geq 1$. Then there is a constant $C_{\mathrm{IP}} = C_{\mathrm{IP}}(X, k)$ such that for any simplicial coboundary $\omega \in C^k(X_L; \mathbb{Z})$, there is an $\alpha \in C^{k-1}(X_L; \mathbb{Z})$ with $d\alpha = \omega$ such that $\|\alpha\|_\infty \leq C_{\mathrm{IP}} L \|\omega\|_\infty$.*

As a step in the proof, we show the following lemma, which will be independently useful in this paper.

Lemma 2.3. *Let X be a finite simplicial complex equipped with the standard metric, and let X_L be the cubical or edgewise L-regular subdivision of X, and let $k \geq 0$. Then there is a constant $C(X,k)$ such that for any $\alpha \in C^k(X_L;\mathbb{R})$ such that $d\alpha$ takes integer values, there is an $\tilde{\alpha} \in C^k(X_L;\mathbb{Z})$ such that $d\alpha = d\tilde{\alpha}$ and $\|\tilde{\alpha}\|_\infty \leq \|\alpha\|_\infty + C$.*

2.3. **Quantitative De Rham theory.** In order to prove the main theorem, we need to discuss cup products on the cochain level. Since simplicial cup products do not have particularly nice properties, it will be more convenient to use differential forms. Therefore it will be helpful to be able to associate to each simplicial cochain a corresponding standard differential form. We use the notation $\int \omega$ to denote the simplicial cochain obtained by integrating a differential form; here we construct a chain homotopy inverse to this operation.

To do this, we use Whitney's proof of the De Rham theorem, provided in [Whi57, §IV.27]. Whitney constructs an explicit isomorphism $D_\bullet$ from the simplicial cochain complex $C^\bullet(M;\mathbb{R})$ of a manifold M to a subcomplex of $\Omega^\bullet(M)$. The same construction produces smooth forms on any simplicial complex as a stratified space. For every n, let $\{g_i^n : 0 \leq i \leq n\}$ be a smooth partition of unity on the standard simplex $\Delta^n = \left\{\vec{x} : \sum_{j=0}^n x_j = 1\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with the following properties:

- $g_i^n \equiv 1$ near the ith vertex and 0 near the opposite face;
- $\{g_i^n\}$ is invariant under the action of the symmetric group;
- for every j, g_i^n is independent of x_j when $x_j < \varepsilon(n)$;
- $g_i^n|\Delta^{n-1} = g_i^{n-1}$.

On any simplicial complex X, this defines a smooth partition of unity $\{g_v : v \in X^{(0)}\}$. For a given ℓ-simplex $c = (v_0, \ldots, v_\ell)$, Whitney then defines

$$D_\ell(\chi_c) = \ell! \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} (-1)^i g_{v_i} dg_{v_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dg_{v_i}} \wedge \cdots \wedge dg_{v_\ell}$$

(ϕ_ℓ in his notation) and shows that this induces a map $D_\bullet : C^\bullet(M;\mathbb{R}) \to \Omega^\bullet(M)$ which is an isomorphism of cochain complexes onto its image.

In order to apply this isomorphism to our situation, we need to make a few more remarks:

(1) If $p : X \to Y$ is a simplicial map, then $p^* D_\bullet = D_\bullet p^*$. This follows from the special case of an $(n+1)$-simplex collapsed onto an n-simplex, which is itself easy to see.

(2) Given two simplicial complexes X and Y, the map

$$D_\bullet^{X\times Y} := \pi_1^* D_\bullet^X \wedge \pi_2^* D_\bullet^Y : C^\bullet(X;\mathbb{R}) \otimes C^\bullet(Y;\mathbb{R}) \to \Omega^\bullet(X \times Y)$$

is likewise an isomorphism from the cellular cochains on the product cell structure on $X \times Y$ to its image. In particular, we will use this in the setting $Y = [0,1]$, split into some number of 1-simplices. We will say a form is *desimplicial* if it is in the image of this map.

(3) Given a Riemannian metric on each stratum of X and a form ω, define $\|\omega\|_\infty$ to be the maximum value of ω on a tuple of unit vectors. Then there are constants C_ℓ such that if we put on $X \times Y$ the product metric of the standard metrics on simplices, then

$$\|D_\ell(c)\|_\infty \leq C_\ell \|c\|_\infty.$$

(4) Let $\omega \in \Omega^*(X \times [0,1])$ be a desimplicial form with $\omega|_{X\times\{1\}} \equiv 0$. For a multivector $\xi \in T^n_{(x,t)}(X \times I)$, write ξ_s for the corresponding multivector in $T^n_{(x,s)}(X \times I)$. Then the form

$$\alpha(\xi) = \int_t^1 \omega_i(\xi_s, ds) ds$$

is also desimplicial.

3. The case of maps $S^3 \to S^2$

In this section, as a warmup, we handle a concrete special case which touches upon most of the problems which we will encounter in proving the more general theorem.

Lemma 3.1. *There is a constant C such that any nullhomotopic L-Lipschitz map $f : S^3 \to S^2$ has a nullhomotopy of width $C(L+1)^2$ and thickness $C(L+1)$.*

Proof. We first give our spaces some extra structure. We embed S^2 in $\mathbb{C}\mathbf{P}^2$, giving each the cell structure with one cell in each even dimension. We give S^3 a simplicial structure which is an L-regular subdivision of some standard one, for example that of $\partial\Delta^4$. Finally, let $I = [0,1]$ be given the simplicial structure with $C_{\mathrm{IP}}(S^2, 2)L^2$ edges of equal length.

By postcomposing a simplicial approximation with a map contracting simplices, and at the cost of a multiplicative increase in L, we can assume that maps $f : S^3 \to S^2$ are cellular and uniformly mosaic. We now use a construction similar to that of [CDMW16, Thm. 4.2] to construct a complex $\mathcal{G}$ (independent of f) and a $\mathcal{G}$-mosaic nullhomotopy $F : S^3 \times I \to \mathbb{C}\mathbf{P}^2$. Let $w \in C^2(S^3; \pi_2(S^2))$ be the cochain $\langle w, c\rangle = [f|_c] \in \pi_2(S^2)$. Since f is nullhomotopic, this cochain cobounds some $a \in C^1(S^3; \pi_2(S^2))$. By Lemma 2.2, since $\|w\|_\infty = 1$, we can pick a such that $\|a\|_\infty \le C_{\mathrm{IP}}L$.

Now let $a' \in C^1(S^3 \times I; \pi_2(S^2))$ be defined by

$$\left\langle a', v \times \left[\frac{i}{C_{\mathrm{IP}}L^2}, \frac{i+1}{C_{\mathrm{IP}}L^2}\right]\right\rangle = 0 \qquad \begin{array}{r}\text{for 0-simplices } v \text{ of } S^3,\\ 0 \le i \le C_{\mathrm{IP}}L^2;\end{array}$$

$$\left\langle a', e \times \left\{\frac{i}{C_{\mathrm{IP}}L^2}\right\}\right\rangle = \left\lfloor \left(1 - \frac{i}{C_{\mathrm{IP}}L^2}\right)^2 \langle a, e\rangle \right\rfloor \qquad \begin{array}{r}\text{for 1-simplices } e \text{ of } X,\\ 0 \le i \le C_{\mathrm{IP}}L^2.\end{array}$$

We build $F : S^3 \times I \to \mathbb{C}\mathbf{P}^2$ by skeleta as follows. Send the 1-skeleton to the basepoint; this gives us $\mathcal{G}_0$ and $\mathcal{G}_1$ with one map each. Then send each 2-cell c to $S^2 \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbf{P}^2$ via a fixed map of degree $\langle da', c\rangle$, which is uniformly bounded. This gives us a $\mathcal{G}_2$ with one cell per degree and shape of cell, and a partial map $F : (S^3 \times I)^{(2)}$ which can be extended to the 3-skeleton with no obstruction. For each possible map on the boundary of a 3-cell, we fix a filling, giving $\mathcal{G}_3$ and an extension of F to the 3-skeleton. Since there is no obstruction to extending the map to the 4-skeleton, we again fix a filling for each possible map on the boundary of a 4-cell. This completes the construction of F.

We will proceed by changing this nullhomotopy into one which maps to S^2. For this to work, we need to kill the Hopf obstruction; that is, to change its behavior on the 3-cells of $S^3 \times I$ so that the restriction to the the boundary of each 4-cell of $S^3 \times I$ has Hopf invariant 0.

Let us translate this into the language of differential forms. The cohomology ring $H^*(\mathbb{C}\mathbf{P}^2; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^3)$, where $x \in H^2(\mathbb{C}\mathbf{P}^2; \mathbb{Z})$. Let ξ be a differential form representing x; then for a 4-cell c of X, $\int_c F^*\xi^2$ is the degree of $F|_c$ over the 4-cell of $\mathbb{C}\mathbf{P}^2$, or equivalently the Hopf invariant of $F|_{\partial c}$. If α is any 1-form with $d\alpha = F^*\xi$, then this Hopf invariant is given by Stokes' theorem by $\int_{\partial c} \alpha \wedge F^*\xi$. If we find a nice enough such α, then we can construct the new nullhomotopy as follows. Given two maps $u, v : D^m \to Y$ which coincide on ∂D^m, let $u * v$ denote the map $S^m \to Y$ which restricts to u and v on the two hemispheres. Then:

- For each 3-cell $c \in S^3 \times I$, replace $F|_c$ with a map $G|_c$ such that the map $F|_c * G|_c : S^3 \to S^2$ (which behaves like F on the upper hemisphere and G on the lower) has Hopf invariant $\int_c \alpha \wedge F^*\xi$.
- Extend G to 4-cells; this can be done since the Hopf invariant on the boundary of each 4-cell is zero.

This sketch evinces two demands on α. First of all, $\int_c \alpha \wedge F^*\xi$ must be an integer. Second, to make sure that G is again uniformly mosaic, the values of α would have to be uniformly bounded. In fact, it is enough to find a uniformly bounded cochain $\mathfrak{b} \in C^3(S^3 \times I; \mathbb{Z})$ such that $d\mathfrak{b} = \int F^*\xi^2$. This will be the goal of the rest of the proof.

We note that the behaviors of $F^*\xi$ on k-cells are in one-to-one correspondence with the set $\mathcal{G}_k$. For now, though, instead of $F^*\xi$ we will use the desimplicial form $\omega := D_2 \int F^*\xi$. This allows us to define a nice antidifferential.

For a vector $v \in T_{(x,t)}(S^3 \times I)$, write v_s for its translate in $T_{(x,s)}(S^3 \times I)$. Now, since $\omega|_{S^3\times\{1\}} \equiv 0$, and by the Poincaré lemma, the 1-form

$$\alpha(v) = \int_t^1 \omega(ds, v_s)ds$$

satisfies $d\alpha = \omega$ and $\|\alpha\|_\infty \leq L\|\omega\|_\infty$. Moreover, as discussed in §2.3, α is also desimplicial. Thus we know that $\|\alpha \wedge \omega\|_\infty \leq CL$, but we don't have a constant bound. On the way to defining the desired cochain $\mathfrak{b}$, we will find a uniformly bounded β such that for any 4-cell c,

$$\int_{\partial c} \beta = \int_{\partial c} \alpha \wedge \omega.$$

To do this, we recall the algebraic nullhomotopy $\bar{h} : \mathcal{M}^*(S^2) \to A^*(S^3) \otimes \mathbb{Q}\langle t, dt\rangle$ from the introduction, given by

$$\begin{aligned} x &\mapsto f^*\xi \otimes (1-t)^2 - \bar{\alpha} \otimes 2(1-t)dt \\ y &\mapsto \bar{\eta} \otimes 4(1-t)^3 dt, \end{aligned}$$

where $d\bar{\alpha} = f^*\xi$ and $d\bar{\eta} = \bar{\alpha} \wedge f^*\xi$. Note that our isoperimetric results mean that we can choose $\bar{\alpha}$ to have ∞-norm $O(L)$ and $\bar{\eta}$ to have ∞-norm $O(L^2)$. Moreover, since t only changes by $O(L^{-2})$ in the span of one cell of our subdivision, dt thought of as a 1-form on this subdivision has ∞-norm $O(L^{-2})$. Therefore we can use the form $\bar{\eta}\otimes 4(1-t)^3dt$ as a scaffolding to help us build a form with bounded ∞-norm whose derivative is ω^2, itself an approximation of $\bar{\alpha} \wedge f^*\bar{\xi} \otimes 4(1-t)^3dt$.

To this end, writing $\pi : S^3 \times [0,1] \to S^3$ for the projection onto the first factor, we let

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\alpha &:= \alpha - (1-t)^2\pi^*(\alpha|_{S^3\times\{0\}}) \\ \Delta\omega &:= d\Delta\alpha = \omega - (1-t)^2\pi^*(\omega|_{S^3\times\{0\}}) + 2(1-t)dt \wedge \pi^*(\alpha|_{S^3\times\{0\}}). \end{aligned}$$

In other words, $\Delta\alpha$ is the (bounded!) difference between α and the form that α would be if we hadn't had to take integer parts in the construction of F. So by construction, $\Delta\alpha$ and $\Delta\omega$ are both bounded.

Note that f is simplicial and $\omega|_{S^3\times\{0\}}$ is the pullback of a volume form on S^2. In particular, since f is nullhomotopic, $\alpha \wedge \omega|_{S^3\times\{0\}}$ is a coboundary, and we can find $\eta \in \Omega^2(S^3)$ such that $d\eta = \alpha \wedge \omega|_{S^3\times\{0\}}$ and $\|\eta\|_\infty \leq C^3L^2$. Then by Stokes' theorem, for any 4-cell c of $S^3 \times I$, the Hopf invariant of F on its boundary is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \int_c \omega^2 &= \int_c \left[(1-t)^2\pi^*(\omega|_{S^3\times\{0\}}) - 2(1-t)dt \wedge \pi^*(\alpha|_{S^3\times\{0\}}) + \Delta\omega\right]^2 \\ &= \int_c \left[\Delta\omega \wedge \omega + (\omega - \Delta\omega) \wedge \Delta\omega - 4(1-t)^3dt \wedge \pi^*(\alpha \wedge \omega|_{S^3\times\{0\}})\right] \\ &= \int_{\partial c} \left[(2\omega - \Delta\omega) \wedge \Delta\alpha - 4(1-t)^3dt \wedge \pi^*\eta\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Call the form in the last line β. We see that both terms of β are uniformly bounded.

Now consider the uniformly bounded cellular cochain $\int \eta \in C^3(S^3 \times I; \mathbb{R})$. We have $d\int \eta = \int \omega^2$, but it may not be the case that $\int \omega^2$ is the same cochain as $\int F^*\xi^2$, which is the degree of F on 4-cells. This can be resolved in the following manner. Recall that F factors through maps

$$S^3 \times I \xrightarrow{G} \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{H} \mathbb{C}\mathbf{P}^2,$$

where $\mathcal{G}$ is a fixed finite polyhedral complex. Then $\left(D_2 \int H^*\xi\right)^2$ and $H^*\xi^2$ are well-defined, cohomologous forms on $\mathcal{G}$ and thus there is a cellular cochain $\mathfrak{a} \in C^3(\mathcal{G};\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$d\mathfrak{a} = \int \left(D_2 \int H^*\xi\right)^2 - \int H^*\xi^2.$$

Then $\int \eta - G^*\mathfrak{a}$ is a uniformly bounded cochain on $S^3 \times I$ with $d(\int \eta - G^*\mathfrak{a}) = \int F^*\xi^2$. This cochain is not integral, but by Lemma 2.3, one can find a nearby integral cochain $\mathfrak{b}$ with the same coboundary. This is the cochain that we were looking for, and completes the proof. □

4. Lifting through k-invariants

We now extend the argument for $S^3 \to S^2$ to a setting which is still geometrically constrained, but whose rational homotopy structure is more general.

Theorem 4.1. *Let X be a finite N-dimensional simplicial complex. For $i = 1, \dots, r$, let $n_i \geq 2$ and let B_i be a finite model of $K(\mathbb{Z}, n_i)$ through dimension $N+1$ whose CW structure is that of simplicial complex with the $(n_i - 1)$-skeleton collapsed. Define a CW-complex $B = \prod_{i=1}^r B_i$. For some $2 \leq n \leq N$, let Y be a finite subcomplex, containing the $(N+1)$-skeleton, of the total space of a $K(\mathbb{Z}, n)$-fibration over B, with projection map $p : Y \to B$. Assume that $\pi_{n+1}(p)$ injects into $\pi_n(Y)$. Then there is a $C(X, Y)$ such that any nullhomotopic L-Lipschitz map $f : X \to Y$ has a nullhomotopy of width $C(L+1)^2$ and thickness $C(L+1)$.*

Note that $K(\mathbb{Z}, n)$-fibrations over B are, up to equivalence, in bijection with elements of $H^{n+1}(B)$ which represent the obstruction to constructing a section, and that any such fibration can be made to have finite skeleta, for example using Milnor's construction [Mil56].

The proof follows an outline similar to the special case in the previous section. The main differences are technicalities imposed by the need to lift through a fibration where in the last section we retracted.

Proof. We start by showing that f can be assumed to take on a certain structure, in particular being uniformly mosaic.

Let π_i be the projection $B \to B_i$. We can simplicially approximate a map homotopic to $\pi_i \circ p \circ f$ on the distinguished simplicial model of B_i, then send it back to B_i via the map collapsing the $(n_i - 1)$-skeleton. This gives us a short homotopy between $\pi_i \circ p \circ f$ and a cellular map on an $O(L)$-regular subdivision of X which is $\mathcal{F}^i$-mosaic for some $\mathcal{F}^i$ depending only on B_i and the homotopy equivalence. This gives us a short homotopy E_t from $p \circ f$ to a $\mathcal{F}$-mosaic map, where $\mathcal{F}_k = \prod_{i=1}^r \mathcal{F}^i_k$ and the boundary maps are also products.

Finally, we would like to lift E_t to a short homotopy of f. By homotopy lifting, this can be done, but we would like it done quantitatively in order to produce a short homotopy $\tilde{E}_t$ from f to an $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$-mosaic map for some $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$. Therefore we do this by skeleta. For $k < n$, we can choose a unique lift for every k-simplex of $\mathcal{F}$. Now let c be an n-simplex of X. We would like to show that we can lift $E_t|_c$ so that $\tilde{E}_1|_c$ is one of a uniformly finite number of maps.

Let $\tilde{c} = c \times \{0\} \cup \partial c \times [0, 1]$. Since E is uniformly Lipschitz with respect to the standard metric on the subdivision, we can simplicially approximate $E|_{\tilde{c}}$ at a uniform scale. In particular, if $u : \tilde{c} \times [0, 1]$ is the homotopy to the simplicial approximation, the map $u|_{\tilde{c} \times \{1\} \cup \partial \tilde{c} \times [0,1]}$ takes on a uniformly finite number of values which we include in $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_n$. We can take this to be the map $\tilde{E}_1|_c$.

Finally, for higher skeleta all lifts are again homotopic, and so when $k > n$ we can take a unique lift for every restriction of $\tilde{E}_t$ to the boundary of a k-cell. The set of such lifts will be called $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_k$.

Now, at the cost of a linear penalty on L, we can assume that f is $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$-mosaic, and therefore each f_i is $\mathcal{F}^i$-mosaic. For each f_i, we can use a construction similar to that of the homotopy F in the previous section to build a nullhomotopy $F_i : X \times I \to B_i$ to the following specifications.

- F_i is $\mathcal{G}^i$-mosaic for some $\mathcal{G}^i$, again depending only on B_i, on a cell structure obtained by splitting the interval I into $C_\ell^2 L^2$ equal subintervals, where $C_\ell = \max_{n \le N} C_{\mathrm{IP}}(X, n)$;
- The degree of F_i on n_i-cells of this cell structure is as follows. Let $w_i \in C^{n_i}(X)$ be the cochain whose values are the degrees of f_i on simplices, and let $a_i \in C^{n_i-1}(X)$ be a cochain with $da_i = w_i$ and $\|a_i\|_\infty \le C_{\mathrm{IP}} L \|w_i\|_\infty$. Then the degree of F_i on a cell c is given by $\langle da_i', c\rangle$, where $a_i' \in C^{n_i-1}(X \times I)$ is defined by

$$\langle a_i', c \times \{t\}\rangle = \lfloor (1-t)^{n_i} \langle a_i', c\rangle \rfloor$$

on cells of that form and is zero on cells which extend in the time direction. Since the derivative of $(1-t)^{n_i}$ and the values of w_i are uniformly bounded, so are the values of da_i'.

Then $F = (F_1, \ldots, F_r)$ is a nullhomotopy F of f in B which is $\mathcal{G}$-mosaic, where once again $\mathcal{G}_k = \prod_{i=1}^r \mathcal{G}_k^i$. Our plan will be to find a nullhomotopy in Y which projects onto F, which will again be modeled on an algebraic nullhomotopy

$$\bar{h} : \mathcal{M}^*(Y) \to \Omega^*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}\langle t, dt\rangle.$$

The minimal model of B is a free algebra $\mathcal{M}(B)$ with trivial differential on the n_i-dimensional generators x_i corresponding to the fundamental class of each B_i. The projection $p : Y \to B$ corresponds to an extension

$$p^* : \mathcal{M}^*(B) \to \mathcal{M}^*(Y) = \mathcal{M}(B) \otimes \mathbb{Q}\langle y\rangle,$$

where $dy = P(x_1, \ldots, x_r)$ is the cohomological obstruction in $\mathbb{Q}\langle x_1, \ldots, x_r\rangle$ to finding a section of Y. Since there is no obstruction to lifting $\pi_n(B)$, P is a polynomial all of whose terms have total degree at least 2.

For each i, let $\xi_i \in \Omega^{n_i}(B_i)$ be a form representing the fundamental class of B_i, and let $\bar{\nu} \in \Omega^n(Y)$ be a form with $d\bar{\nu} = P(p^* p_i^* \xi_i)$. This gives us a realization homomorphism $R_Y : \mathcal{M}^*(Y) \to \Omega^*(Y)$ defined by $x_i \mapsto p^* p_i^* \xi_i$ and $y \mapsto \bar{\nu}$. Since f is nullhomotopic, we can build the algebraic nullhomotopy $\bar{h}$ of $f^* R_Y$ as follows. For any DGA A define an operator $\int_0^1 : A \otimes \langle t, dt\rangle \to A$ by

$$\textstyle\int_0^1 a \otimes t^i = 0, \int_0^1 a \otimes t^i dt = (-1)^{\deg a} \dfrac{a}{i+1}.$$

Then let

$$x_i \mapsto f^* R_Y x_i \otimes (1-t)^{n_i} - \bar{\alpha}_i \otimes n_i (1-t)^{n_i - 1} dt$$
$$y \mapsto f^* R_Y y \otimes (1-t)^{n+1} - f^* \bar{\eta} \otimes (n+1)(1-t)^n dt,$$

where $d\bar{\alpha}_i = f^* R_Y x_i$ and $d\bar{\eta} = \int_0^1 \bar{h}(P(\vec{x})) - f^* R_Y y$.

Note that the terms of $\int_0^1 \bar{h}(P(\vec{x}))$ are each a product of some $f^* R_Y x_i$'s together with one $\bar{\alpha}_i$. Since $\bar{\alpha}_i$ may be chosen so that $\|\bar{\alpha}_i\|_\infty \le O(L)$, this means that $\bar{\eta}$ may be chosen so that $\|\bar{\eta}\|_\infty \le O(L^2)$.

On the other hand, define a form $\omega_i = D_{n_i} \int F_i^* p_i^* \xi_i \in \Omega^{n_i}(X \times [0,1])$. This gives us a homomorphism $\overline{F^*} : \mathcal{M}(B) \to \Omega^*(X \times [0,1])$. Note that since f_i is a composition of a simplicial map and a collapse, $\omega_i|_{X \times \{0\}}$ is the pullback of a desimplicial form representing the fundamental class in $H^{n_i}(K(\mathbb{Z}, n_i))$. Moreover, $\overline{F^*}|_{X \times \{0\}}$ extends to a homomorphism $\overline{f^*} : \mathcal{M}(Y) \to \Omega^*(X \times \{0\})$: set $\overline{f^*} y$ to be some form with $d\overline{f^*} y = \overline{F^*} P(\vec{x})|_{X \times \{0\}}$ and $\|\overline{f^*} y\|_\infty \le CL$.

Write $\pi : X \times [0,1] \to X$ for the projection onto the first factor. For a multivector $\xi \in T^n_{(x,t)}(X \times I)$, and writing ξ_s for its parallel translate in $T^n_{(x,s)}(X \times I)$, let

$$\alpha_i(\xi) = \int_t^1 \omega_i(ds, \xi_s) ds.$$

Then $d\alpha_i = \omega_i$ and we can define a nullhomotopy of $\overline{F^*}|_{X\times\{0\}}$ by

$$h(x_i) = \overline{F^*}x_i|_{X\times\{0\}} \otimes (1-t)^n + \alpha_i|_{X\times\{0\}} \otimes n(1-t)^{n-1}dt.$$

Then $\left\| \int_0^1 H(P(\vec{w})) \right\|_\infty \leq CL$ with C depending on X and $Y \xrightarrow{p} B$. Now, let R_t be the obvious homomorphism

$$R_t : \Omega^*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}\langle t, dt\rangle \to \Omega^*(X \times [0,1])$$

which sends $t \mapsto t$. Then if we let

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta\alpha_i &:= \alpha_i - \alpha_i' := \alpha_i - (1-t)^{n_i}\pi^*(\alpha_i|_{X\times\{0\}}) \\
\Delta\omega_i &:= d\Delta\alpha_i = \overline{F^*}x_i - R_t h(x_i) \\
&= \omega_i - (1-t)^{n_i}\pi^*(\omega_i|_{X\times\{0\}}) + n_i(1-t)^{n_i-1}dt \wedge \pi^*(\alpha_i|_{X\times\{0\}}),
\end{aligned}$$

then by construction $\|\Delta\omega_i\|_\infty \leq C(N,B)$ and $\|\Delta\alpha_i\|_\infty \leq C(N,B)$.

Moreover, since f is nullhomotopic, we can find $\eta \in \Omega^n(X)$ such that $d\eta = \overline{f^*}y - \int_0^1 h(dy)$ and $\|\eta\|_\infty \leq C^3L^2$. Then by Stokes' theorem, for every $(n+1)$-cell c of $X \times [0,1]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_c \overline{F^*}P(\vec{x}) &= \int_c P\left(\overrightarrow{\Delta\omega} - R_t h(\vec{x})\right) \\
&= \int_c \left[\sum_i \Delta\omega_i \operatorname{poly}\left(\overrightarrow{\Delta\omega}, \overline{F^*}\vec{x}\right) + R_t h(dy)\right] \\
&= \int_{\partial c} \left[\sum_i \Delta\alpha_i \operatorname{poly}\left(\overrightarrow{\Delta\omega}, \overline{F^*}\vec{x}\right) + (1-t)^{n+1}\pi^*\overline{f^*}(y) - (n+1)(1-t)^n dt \wedge \pi^*\eta\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Call the form in the previous line β. Then since $\|dt\|_\infty = 1/C_\ell^2 L^2$ and the polynomials we have elided can be chosen so as to depend only on P, β satisfies $\|\beta\|_\infty \leq C(N,Y)$ and $d\beta = P(\omega_{\mathcal{I}})$.

Now we are ready to construct a lift $\tilde{F} : X \times I \to Y$ of F. Since F is $\mathcal{G}$-mosaic, we can view it as a composition

$$X \times I \xrightarrow{G} \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{H} B.$$

Now let $\mathcal{G}'$ be the smallest complex which surjects onto $\mathcal{G}$ and such that $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ in turn injects into it, with the composition induced by the projection $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \to \mathcal{F}$. In particular, $(\mathcal{G}')^{(n-1)} = \mathcal{G}^{(n-1)}$ but in the n-skeleton, some cells have a number of duplicates compared to $\mathcal{G}$. Then there are obvious maps

$$X \times I \xrightarrow{G'} \mathcal{G}' \xrightarrow{H'} B.$$

We can build a partial lift of H' to Y by lifting each map in $\mathcal{G}'_k$, for each $k \leq n$, using the lift in $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ where it exists. This then gives us a map $\tilde{H} : (\mathcal{G}')^{(n)} \to Y$ and an obstruction cocycle $\mathfrak{o} \in C^{n+1}(\mathcal{G}', \tilde{\mathcal{F}}; \mathbb{Z})$ to extending it to $(\mathcal{G}')^{(n+1)}$ which is independent of f.

Now, $\mathfrak{o}$ and $P(D_2 \int (H')^* p^* p_i^* \xi_i)$ are both representatives of the obstruction class in $H^{n+1}(\mathcal{G}', \tilde{\mathcal{F}}; \mathbb{R})$ to lifting H to a map $\mathcal{G} \to Y$. Therefore, there is a cellular cochain $\mathfrak{a} \in C^n(\mathcal{G}', \tilde{\mathcal{F}}; \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$d\mathfrak{a} = \textstyle\int P(D_2 \int (H')^* p^* p_i^* \xi_i) - \mathfrak{o}.$$

Since

$$\overline{F^*}P(\vec{x}) = (G')^* P(D_2 \textstyle\int (H')^* p^* p_i^* \xi_i),$$

the uniformly bounded cochain $\int \beta - (G')^*\mathfrak{a} \in C^n(X \times I; \mathbb{R})$ satisfies $d(\int \beta - (G')^*\mathfrak{a}) = (G')^*\mathfrak{o}$. This cochain is not integral, but by Lemma 2.3, one can find a nearby integral cochain $\mathfrak{b}$ with the same coboundary, and such that it is still zero on $X \times \{0,1\}$.

We will use $\mathfrak{b}$ to construct a lift of F to Y which is $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$-mosaic for a $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} = \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(X,Y)$ which we will also construct. First, let $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ contain $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ and for $k \leq n-1$ let $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_k$ consist of the $\tilde{H}$-lifts of $\mathcal{G}'_k$. Next, for



FIGURE 1. A camel. Note the collar which is isometric to some fixed simplicial structure on $X \times [0,1]$.

every value γ that may be taken by $\mathfrak{b}$ and every element $\delta \in \mathcal{G}'_n \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_n$ we add in a lift $\ell(\delta, \gamma)$ which differs from the one in $\mathcal{G}'_n$ by γ. Finally, for any $k > n$, any cell of $\mathcal{G}'_k$, and any lift of its boundary, we add a single extended lift to $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_k$ if one exists.

Now we modify $\tilde{H} \circ G'$ to define a map $\tilde{F}|_{(X\times[0,1])^{(n)}}$: for every n-cell c, we let the map on c be $\ell(\tilde{H} \circ G'|_c, -\mathfrak{b}(c))$. This kills the obstruction, allowing us to lift further to construct our $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$-mosaic map $\tilde{F} : X \times [0,1] \to Y$. □

5. Rational invariance

In this section, we show that the difficulty of nullhomotoping L-Lipschitz maps $X \to Y$ depends on Y only up to rational homotopy type. The proof of this can be separated into a topological result and a metric result.

We start with the metric result, which is again proven in [CDMW16]. It shows that if a map $X \to Z$ is homotopically trivial relative to a subspace $Y \subset Z$ whose relative homotopy groups are finite, then one can find such a homotopic trivialization which is geometrically bounded.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $Y \subset Z$ be a pair of finite simplicial complexes such that $\pi_k(Z, Y)$ is finite for $k \leq n+1$. Then there is a constant $C(n, Y, Z)$ with the following property. Let (X, A) be a pair of n-dimensional simplicial complexes and $f : (X, A) \to (Z, Y)$ a simplicial map which is homotopic rel A to a map $g : X \to Y$. Then there is a short homotopy rel A of f to a map g' which is homotopic to g in Y, that is, a homotopy which is C-Lipschitz under the standard metric on the product cell structure on $X \times [0,1]$.*

Note that the constant C does not depend on X and in particular on the choice of a subdivision of X. Thus if we consider Lipschitz and not just simplicial maps from X to Y, the width of the homotopy remains constant, rather than linear in the Lipschitz constant as with some of our later results.

We now move on to the topological portion of the discussion.

Theorem 5.2. *Rationally equivalent simply connected finite simplicial complexes admit* nullhomotopies of the same shapes. *That is, suppose we are given the following data:*

1. *Rationally homotopy equivalent simply connected finite metric simplicial complexes Y and Z;*
2. *A finite n-dimensional simplicial complex X;*
3. *A simplicial pair $(K, X \times [0,1])$ which is homeomorphic to $(X \times [0,1]/X \times \{1\}, X \times [0, \varepsilon])$ and given the standard metric. Here $X \times [0,1]$ is given some fixed simplicial structure.*

Then there is a constant $C = C(n, Y, Z) > 0$ such that if for every nullhomotopic L-Lipschitz map $f : X \to Y$ there is an M-Lipschitz extension $F : K \to Y$, then for every L/C-Lipschitz map $g : X \to Z$ there is a $(CM + C)$-Lipschitz extension $G : K \to Z$.

The point of introducing the complex K is to prescribe a metric on the cone on X. The theorem then says that, under any such metric, sizes of homotopies do not depend very much on torsion in the target space. For example, this controls the sizes of nullhomotopies through a camel with two humps, as in the figure. In the main application of this theorem to the proof of Theorem 1.2, K will be a tube whose length depends on the the Lipschitz constant.

Proof. Since Y and Z are rationally homotopy equivalent, there is a finite complex W and a pair of maps $Y \to W \leftarrow Z$ which induce equivalences on the level of rational homotopy. A proof for this is given, for example, in [Man16, Lemma 1.3 and Cor. 1.9]. Thus we can assume that Y is a subcomplex of Z or vice versa.

We first do the case when $Y \subset Z$. Let $C(n, Y, Z)$ be the constant given in Lemma 5.1. Suppose $g : X \to Z$ is a nullhomotopic L/C-Lipschitz map, which we can assume to be simplicial on a subdivision X_L at scale $\sim C/L$. In particular, g can be homotoped into Y, and so by Lemma 5.1 this can be done via a short homotopy $H : X_L \times [0, 1] \to Z$. Now, $f(x) := H(x, 1)$ is an L-Lipschitz nullhomotopic map $X \to Y$, and so there is an M-Lipschitz nullhomotopy $F : K \to Y$ of f. Concatenating H and F gives an $(M + C)$-Lipschitz nullhomotopy $G : K \to Z$ of g.

Now suppose $Z \subset Y$, and suppose $g : X \to Z$ is a nullhomotopic L-Lipschitz map. By assumption, there is an M-Lipschitz nullhomotopy $F : K \to Y$ of g and an uncontrolled nullhomotopy $\tilde{G} : CX \to Z$ of g. There is no guarantee, however, that F can be homotoped into Z rel X, even in an uncontrolled way.

On the other hand, concatenating F and $\tilde{G}$ along g gives us a map $H : SX \to Y$. Homotopy classes of such maps form a group, and using obstruction theory on the relative Postnikov tower of the pair (Y, Z), we can see that the homomorphism $[SX, Z] \to [SX, Y]$ has finite kernel and cokernel. For each element γ of this cokernel, choose a map $F_\gamma : SX \to Y$ representing it. Then concatenating $F_{-[H]}$ with F near the cone point gives us a nullhomotopy of g which can be homotoped into Z and which is $(C_0M + C_0)$-Lipschitz, where C_0 depends only on the geometry of the various F_γ. (Here we are using that K is a simplicial complex with the standard metric and therefore its local geometry near the cone point can't be too awful.)

Finally, we can use Lemma 5.1 to ensure that we get a $(CM + C)$-Lipschitz nullhomotopy $G : K \to Z$, where C is the product of C_0 and the constant from the lemma. □

6. Proof of the main theorem

Putting together Theorems 5.2 and 4.1, we can now prove Theorem 1.2. We restate this theorem equivalently below:

Theorem. *Let X be an n-dimensional finite complex, and let Y be a finite complex which is rationally equivalent up to dimension $n + 1$ to the total space of an induced fibration whose fiber and base are both products of Eilenberg–MacLane spaces. Then there is a constant $C(X, Y)$ such that nullhomotopic L-Lipschitz maps from X to Y admit nullhomotopies of thickness $C(L+1)$ and width $C(L + 1)^2$.*

We now discuss the meaning of the condition on Y. A fibration $F \to E \to B$ of simply-connected spaces is *induced* if it is equivalent to a fibration

$$\Omega F' \to E' \times \mathcal{P}F' \to B'$$

given by lifting paths through a fibration $E' \to B' \to F'$; see [Gan67]. In particular, if F is a product $\prod_{j=1}^{r} K(G, n_i)$, then the homotopy classes of such fibrations over B with fiber F are in bijection

with $\prod_{i=1}^{r} H^{n_i+1}(B;G)$. Indeed, such a fibration is the fiber product of the $K(G,n_i)$-fibrations induced by the projection $B' \to F' \to K(G,n_{i+1})$.

Now suppose that $F = \prod_{j=1}^{s} K(\mathbb{Q},n_j)$ and $B = \prod_{i=1}^{r} K(\mathbb{Q},n_i)$ are both products of rational Eilenberg–MacLane spaces. In this setting we can translate the above picture into the language of Sullivan minimal models using the equivalence of the relevant homotopy categories (see e.g. Chapter XIV of [GM81].) The fiber product becomes the homotopy pushout of the elementary extensions

$$\mathcal{M}(B) \to \mathcal{M}(B) \otimes \wedge(w_j),$$

with $dw_j \in \mathcal{M}(B)$, in other words the algebra

$$\mathcal{M}(B) \otimes \bigwedge_{j=1}^{s} (w_j)$$

with the same differential for each of the w_j's. So the condition on Y implies the following: the minimal DGA $\mathcal{M}(Y)$ must split as $A_0 \otimes A_1$, where $dA_1 \subset A_0$ and $dA_0 = 0$. In fact, it's now easy to see that these conditions are equivalent. In the proof below, we will use these various equivalent formulations.

It is well-known (see e.g. Example 3 of [FHT12, §12(a)]) that H-spaces have the rational homotopy type of products of Eilenberg–MacLane spaces. Now suppose $Y = G/H$ is a homogeneous space, G and H being Lie groups and therefore H-spaces. Denote the inclusion map $H \to G$ by ι and let $\mathcal{M}(G) = \bigwedge_i G_i$. Then by a hard theorem of Cartan [TO97, §5.4], the minimal model

$$\mathcal{M}(Y) = \mathcal{M}(H) \otimes \bigwedge_i g_i$$

where $\deg g_i = \deg G_i - 1$ and $dg_i = \iota^* G_i$. This is exactly the kind of decomposition detailed above. Thus the space Y in Theorem 1.2 can be any simply-connected homogeneous target space, including spheres, complex projective spaces, and Grassmannians.

Another corollary concerns maps to spaces which are highly connected. The first part is a result from [CDMW16].

Corollary 6.1. *Let Y be a rationally $(k-1)$-connected finite complex and X an n-dimensional finite complex.*

(a) If $n \leq 2k-2$, then there are constants $C_1(n,Y)$ and $C_2(X)$ such that homotopic L-Lipschitz maps from X to Y are $C_1C_2(L+1)$-Lipschitz homotopic through $C_1(L+1)$-Lipschitz maps.

(b) If $2k-1 \leq n \leq 3k-3$, then there is a constant $C(X,Y)$ such that nullhomotopic L-Lipschitz maps admit nullhomotopies of thickness $C(L+1)$ and width $C(L+1)^2$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By assumption, Y is rationally equivalent up to dimension $n+1$ to the total space Z of a principal fibration

$$\prod_{j=1}^{s} K(\mathbb{Z},n_j) \to Z \to \prod_{i=1}^{r} K(\mathbb{Z},n_i).$$

As noted before, we may assume that Z is the fiber product of fibrations $K(\mathbb{Z},n_j) \to Z_j \to B$, where B is as in Theorem 4.1 and the Z_j have finite skeleta. Concretely, we can think of Z as the pullback via the diagonal map $B \to B^s$ of the product fibration

$$Z_1 \times \cdots \times Z_s \to B^s.$$

Then if f is a nullhomotopic map $X \to Z$, we can construct a nullhomotopy with the desired properties by finding a nullhomotopy F in B, lifting it as in Theorem 4.1 to $\tilde{F}_j : X \times I \to Z_j$ for each $1 \leq j \leq s$, and finally setting

$$\tilde{F}(x,t) = (\tilde{F}_1(x,t), \ldots, \tilde{F}_s(x,t)) \in Z.$$

Once we have shown the result for Z, it must hold for Y by Theorem 5.2. □

7. Some lower bounds

It is first worth noting that nullhomotopies of maps, for example, from $S^n \to S^n$ and $S^3 \to \mathbb{C}\mathbf{P}^2$ cannot be done in constant time, as is the case for targets with finite homotopy groups as in Theorem 1 of [FW13]. Thus the linear upper bound in Conjecture 3 when $q = 1$, as shown in [CDMW16], is sharp.

One may ask then whether Theorem 1.2 similarly gives sharp bounds. This boils down to two separate questions. First, is the quadratic bound on the width of the homotopy necessary, or could a linear bound suffice? Secondly, can the theorem be extended to homotopies rather than just nullhomotopies, as is the case with the theorem in [CDMW16]? It turns out that both of these features are required.

7.1. **Maps that are hard to nullhomotope.** First, we give a series of examples, also given in [CDMW16], that show that for every q, the upper bound in Conjecture 3 is the best one possible in general. In particular, we show that it gives a sharp estimate on the minimum $(n+1)$-dilation of a nullhomotopy in certain cases. Let the space Y_q be given by $S^2 \vee S^2$ together with $(q+3)$-cells whose attaching maps form a basis for $\pi_{q+2}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Note that by rational homotopy theory, $\pi_{*+1}(S^2 \vee S^2) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is a free graded Lie algebra on two generators of degree 1 whose Lie bracket is the Whitehead product. In particular, if f and g are the identity maps on the two copies of S^2, the iterated Whitehead product

$$h_1 = [f, [f, \dots [f, g] \dots]] : S^{q+2} \to S^2 \vee S^2,$$

with f repeated q times, represents a nonzero element of $\pi_{q+2}(S^2 \vee S^2)$. Moreover, the map

$$h_L = [L^2 f, [L^2 f, \dots [L^2 f, L^2 g] \dots]] : S^{q+2} \to S^2 \vee S^2$$

is an $O(L)$-Lipschitz representative of $L^{2q+2}[h_1]$. Thus in Y, we can define a nullhomotopy H of h_L by first homotoping it inside $S^2 \vee S^2$ to $h_1 \circ p h_{2q+2}$ for some map $\varphi_{2q+2} : S^n \to S^n$ of degree L^{2q+2}, and then nullhomotoping each copy of h_1 via a standard nullhomotopy.

Since h_1 is not nullhomotopic in $S^2 \vee S^2$, this standard nullhomotopy must have degree $C \neq 0$ on at least one of the $(q+3)$-cells, giving a closed $(q+3)$-form ω on Y such that $\int_{S^{q+2} \times I} \omega^* H = L^{2q+2} C$. Now, suppose H' is some other nullhomotopy of h_L. Then gluing H and H' along the copies of $S^{q+2} \times \{0\}$ gives a map $p : S^{q+3} \to Y$. Note that if any map $(D^{q+3}, S^{q+2}) \to (Y, S^2 \vee S^2)$ had nonzero degree on cells, then the map $S^{q+2} \to S^2 \vee S^2$ on the boundary would be homotopically nontrivial. This shows that p must have degree zero on cells, in other words, that $\int_{S^{q+2} \times I} \omega^* H' = L^{2q+2} C$. Thus the $(q+3)$-dilation of a nullhomotopy of h_L grows at least as L^{2q+2}.

In particular, a nullhomotopy $F : S^{q+1} \times [0,1] \to Y$ of h_2 which has thickness $\sim L$ has to have width $\sim L^q$.

Now, the rational homotopy groups of Y are given by the free Lie algebra on two generators truncated in degree $q+1$. A standard computation shows that differentials of r-dimensional generators in the corresponding minimal model are multiples of the $(r-1)$-dimensional generators. Therefore, the minimal depth of the filtration in Conjecture 3 in this case is q, demonstrating that this is in some sense the "best possible" conjecture. In particular, the bound in Theorem 1.2 is sharp in at least some cases. On the other hand, it is still open whether this quadratic bound is sharp, for example, for maps $S^3 \to S^2$.

7.2. **Maps that are hard to homotope.** To see that general homotopies do not always behave like nullhomotopies, we consider maps $S^3 \times S^4 \to S^4$. Any map $f : S^3 \times S^4 \to S^4$ induces a



FIGURE 2. Construct maps $S^3 \times S^4 \to S^4$ by "budding off" a small ball and then projecting the rest onto the S^4 factor.

homomorphism of minimal DGAs

$$\langle x^4, y^7 : dx = 0, dy = x^2\rangle \xrightarrow{f^*} \langle a^3, b^4, c^7 : da = db = 0, dc = b^2\rangle$$

which must send $x \mapsto pb$ and $y \mapsto p^2c + qab$ for some $p, q \in \mathbb{Q}$. Conversely, for any $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ we can define a map $f_{p,q}$ as illustrated in Figure 2 such that $f^*_{p,q}$ sends $x \mapsto pb$ and $y \mapsto p^2c + qab$. This follows from the action of the first map on cohomology and of the second on homotopy groups.

Now, given $p \neq 0$, for any $q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$ there is a homotopy of DGA homomorphisms

$$\langle x^4, y^7 : dx = 0, dy = x^2\rangle \to \langle a^3, b^4, c^7 : da = db = 0, dc = b^2\rangle \otimes \langle t^0, dt^1\rangle$$

between f^*_{p,q_1} and f^*_{p,q_2}, given by

$$\begin{aligned} x &\mapsto pb + \frac{q_2 - q_1}{2p} adt \\ y &\mapsto p^2c + q_1ab(1-t) + q_2abt. \end{aligned}$$

This suggests that, at least up to a finite order difference, $f_{p,q_1} \simeq f_{p,q_2}$.

Indeed, one can see more geometrically that two such maps are homotopic, at least if the number $\frac{q_2-q_1}{2p}$ is an integer. For concreteness, suppose $p = 1$ and $q_1 = 0$. A potential homotopy between $f_{1,0}$ and $f_{1,q}$ must factor through the quotient space of $S^3 \times S^4 \times I$ where $S^3 \times S^4 \times \{0\}$ is projected onto S^4 and $S^3 \times S^4 \times \{1\}$ is projected onto $S^4 \vee S^7$ as in Figure 2. This quotient space is easily seen to be homeomorphic to $S^4 \times S^4$ minus an open ball, and thus homotopy equivalent to $S^4 \vee S^4$. Let α and β be the homotopy classes of the identity maps on the copies of S^4, which are images under the quotient map of $S^3 \times S^4 \times \{0\}$ and $S^3 \times * \times I$ respectively. The prescribed restriction to $S^3 \times S^4 \times \partial I$ then translates to requiring that, for a map $h : S^4 \vee S^4 \to S^4$, $h_*\alpha = [\mathrm{id}_{S^4}]$ and

$$h_*[\alpha, \beta] = \frac{q}{2}[\mathrm{id}_{S^4}, \mathrm{id}_{S^4}] = q[\mathrm{Hopf}].$$

Indeed such a map h exists, with $h_*\beta = \frac{q}{2}[\mathrm{id}_{S^4}]$. In other words, there is a homotopy $F : S^3 \times S^4 \times I \to S^4$ between $f_{1,0}$ and $f_{1,q}$, and any such homotopy satisfies $\int_{S^3\times *\times I} F^* d\,\mathrm{vol} = \frac{q}{2}$.

Now, as before, if we take $q = L^8$, we can define $f_{1,q}$ so that it has Lipschitz constant $O(L)$. On the other hand, we have just shown that a homotopy between f_{1,L^8} and $f_{1,0}$ must have degree at least $L^8/2$ on the 4-dimensional submanifold $S^3 \times * \times I \subset S^3 \times S^4 \times I$. Thus such a homotopy must have Lipschitz constant $\Omega(L^2)$, or, if it has linear thickness, it must have width $\Omega(L^5)$. Either way, it cannot possibly satisfy the bounds of Theorem 1.2, showing that the theorem can only apply to nullhomotopies.

References

[Ama14] Manuel Amann, *Degrees of self-maps of simply connected manifolds*, International Mathematics Research Notices (2014), doi:10.1093/imrn/rnu201.

[BMSS98] Richard Body, Mamoru Mimura, Hiroo Shiga, and Dennis Sullivan, *p-universal spaces and rational homotopy types*, Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici **73** (1998), no. 3, 427–442.

[CDMW16] Gregory R Chambers, Dominic Dotterrer, Fedor Manin, and Shmuel Weinberger, *Quantitative null-cobordism*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1610.04888 (2016).

[EG00] Herbert Edelsbrunner and Daniel R Grayson, *Edgewise subdivision of a simplex*, Discrete & Computational Geometry **24** (2000), no. 4, 707–719.

[FHT12] Yves Félix, Steve Halperin, and Jean-Claude Thomas, *Rational homotopy theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 205, Springer, 2012.

[FW13] Steve Ferry and Shmuel Weinberger, *Quantitative algebraic topology and lipschitz homotopy*, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **110** (2013), no. 48, 19246–19250.

[Gan67] T Ganea, *Induced fibrations and cofibrations*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **127** (1967), no. 3, 442–459.

[GM81] Phillip A. Griffiths and John W. Morgan, *Rational homotopy theory and differential forms*, Birkhäuser, 1981.

[Gro78] Mikhail Gromov, *Homotopical effects of dilatation*, Journal of Differential Geometry **13** (1978), no. 3, 303–310.

[Gro99] ———, *Quantitative homotopy theory*, Invited Talks on the Occasion of the 250th Anniversary of Princeton University (H. Rossi, ed.), Prospects in Mathematics, 1999, pp. 45–49.

[Gro14] ———, *Manifolds: Where do we come from? what are we? where are we going*, The Poincaré conjecture (James Carlson, ed.), Clay Mathematics Proceedings, vol. 19, 2014, pp. 81–144.

[Man16] Fedor Manin, *Volume distortion in homotopy groups*, Geometric and Functional Analysis **26** (2016), no. 2, 607–679.

[Mil56] John Milnor, *Construction of universal bundles, I*, Annals of Mathematics **63** (1956), no. 2, 272–284.

[MT71] Mamoru Mimura and Hirosi Toda, *On p-equivalences and p-universal spaces*, Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici **46** (1971), no. 1, 87–97.

[TO97] Aleksy Tralle and John Oprea, *Symplectic manifolds with no Kähler structure*, Springer, 1997.

[Whi57] Hassler Whitney, *Geometric integration theory*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 21, Princeton University Press, 1957.

Department of Mathematics, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, USA
E-mail address, G. R. Chambers: chambers@math.uchicago.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada
E-mail address, F. Manin: manin@math.toronto.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, USA
E-mail address, S. Weinberger: shmuel@math.uchicago.edu